

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_76950
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ASSESSMENT OF QUALITY OF SERVICE EXTENDED BY SELECT AGRICLINICS AND AGRIBUSINESS CENTRES IN UNION TERRITORY OF PUDUCHERRY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In the conclusion the author should state the study limitations, generalizability of results and method plus future research needed	I have included
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Introduction page 2 line 5 – how is “success” determined? Methodology- were other methods than SERVQUAL considered? Results page 4 line 7 –author should define “marginal” famers-just area worked? Results page 6 last line should refer to table 4 not 3	Corrections were carried out and highlighted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	