

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_84527
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-Chemical, Functional and Antioxidant Evaluation of Some Gluten-free Flours Formulas Compared with Available Commercial Formula
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajacr.com/index.php/AJACR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">❖ The article is well written and has been covered the evaluation of some gluten-free flours formulas for physio-chemical, functional, and antioxidant properties in comparison to commercially available formulas.❖ Both additions and corrections were outlined in red color.❖ Many of the words outlined in blue should be deleted.❖ Keywords: Please re arrange key words alphabetically.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">❖ All required modification and corrections have been made.
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">❖ It is better to standardize the names of journals in references and write them as a standard abbreviation for journals. The author(s) can check the shortcut at http://images.webofknowledge.com/WOK46/help/WOS/T_abrvjt.html or www.journalseek.net etc..❖ Grammar: Need Some revision.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">❖ All required modification and corrections have been made.
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">❖ In general, the paper is well written, and the manuscript is relevant and informative. The study concluded that when compared to GF commercial formulas, prepared GF flours formulas had improved nutritional value as well as improved physical characteristics.❖ Title: the title properly explains the purpose and objective of the article❖ Abstract: The abstract offers an accurate summary of the paper, and the language used in the abstract is easy to read and understand.❖ Introduction: The authors provide sufficient context on the subject and purpose of this study, as well as a description of the authors' goals.❖ Results: The findings are presented clearly, the authors provide reliable test results, and each finding is supported by adequate proof.❖ Conclusion: The research offers sample data for the authors to draw conclusions from.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	