

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_88527
Title of the Manuscript:	Improvement of fruit pubescence and fruiting pattern among pure lines and Landrace of Okra ( <i>Abelmoschus</i> spp.) through interspecific hybridization
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>There is no major revision needed. The article is well written and research is noble. Only references cited are needed to be shown in as journal's format.</b>	We accept the suggestion made by the reviewer that the referencing should follow the journal's format. Correction effected in the revised manuscript
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	There are few grammatical or spelling corrections needed which have been indicated by red colour for addition and blue colour for deletion or as given in review boxes.	We accept there were some grammatical and spelling errors in the manuscript, which have been properly corrected. However, the authors wish to state that some spellings are not quite wrong due to either their local inclination or mode of identification. We also wish to appreciate the painstaking effort of the reviewer in thoroughly going through the work.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Article may be accepted for publication with required changes/ revisions	We wish to appreciate the recommendation of the reviewer in finding this work worthy for publication

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	<b>The authors wish to state that there were no ethical issues in any part of the manuscript; as such does not violate any ethical or religious beliefs of any group or organization. Another reviewer raised such concerns and our response is same as clearly addressed in the revised manuscript.</b>