

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_85997
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF PHOSPHORUS FERTILIZATION AND SEED RATES ON YIELD COMPONENTS AND YIELD OF BLACK CUMIN (Nigella sativa L.)
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author/s should follow the journal guideline and write as journal research article;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The key words are missed and should be included2. The result didn't discuss and should be discussed either with results or separately by comparing the findings with the previous works3. The acknowledgement should be incorporated4. The conflict of interests should be incorporated5. The citation in the body parts should be in line with the listed references and should be cited as numbering system not by author year system6. The main titles in manuscript parts consistently written (either in upper case or lower case) and the fonts sizes should be based on the journal guideline7. The appendix table numbers should be available after the reference part if it was cited in the body the manuscript unless shouldn't be cited8. The grammatic errors should be corrected in the whole manuscripts <p>Generally, the author/s should revise the manuscript by including the above comments and suggestion to make a full write up the manuscript.</p>	Thanks for your valuable comment and time. I tried to make the correction according to your review.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

