

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_84241
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) as Applied with Vermi Tea as Organic Fertilizer
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Research article requires proper editing of the text before recommendation for publication. Abstract is not clear. Introduction is not properly presented to support their experiment. Methodology is very poor. Also, it is not clear about application of vermi tea to specific plants or in the total area. Moreover, quantity used is also not defined properly. Results and discussion Mean value should must be presented with S.E. In addition, there is lot of flaws in data and its presentation. Text describes no significant variation except weight of cucumber fruit. Also, size, weight etc mentioned in the text is not justified or I am unable to understand, e.g. number of flowers is around 35 but number of fruit is only 5; length of fruit is only 10 cm; diameter only 4 cm, weight only 10 gm. Is it justified? In conclusion, author/s has/have mentioned vermi tea as source of potassium but method of estimation for potassium is lacking.	Noted  Revision amended  Corrected  Done
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Commented research article attached.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	