



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AJAAR_66534
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil Quality Assessment and Agricultural Impact of Swine Waste Open Disposal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The manuscript is good written but very brief. -The introduction of the literature is thorough and the reader is given an adequate background about the Soil Quality Assessment and Agricultural Impact of Swine Waste Open Disposal. -The Materials and methods are good written. -It is obvious by reading the Results and Discussion that it was good written by researcher but there is a note that the possible to use histograms instead of tables and should be coloring and clearly illustrated. 	<p>Revision made</p> <p>Done revision</p>
Minor REVISION comments	The Abstract is very brief.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Some words have error that I colored yellow. -The ACKNOWLEDGMENTS (Absent). 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	