

Review Form 1.6

1.

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_84463
Title of the Manuscript:	UTILISATION OF EMERGENCY CONTRACEPTION AMONG FINAL YEAR UNIVERSITY FEMALE STUDENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ The author can mention sample size above the all tables in the results➤ The author can mention reliability and validity of the tools➤ The author can mention funding for the study➤ The author can concise discussion chapter➤ The author can mention ethical consideration for the study➤ The author can be mention regarding acknowledgment in this article➤ Kindly take care about alignment, grammar and spelling in this manuscript➤ The author can mention reference for each paragraph	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Indicated on the headings of tables2. reliability and validity of the tools was already mentioned.3. It was mentioned already.4. Ethical consideration already captured.5. Acknowledgement indicated, I believe the editor removed this one because it has the tendency to compromise the review process.6. Manuscript was proofread.7. Done
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	