

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_88292
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF FEEDING ALBINO RATS WITH ACHA- ORANGE FLESHED SWEET POTATO BLENDS ON THE BLOOD GLUCOSE LEVEL AND HEMATOLOGICAL PARAMETERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The English is good.</p> <p>There were 7 group of tests, why Fifteen (15) albino rats (male and female) of the Wistar strain (Rattus norvegicus) were purchased from Gboko, Benue state, Nigeria.</p> <p>The rats were divided into 5 major groups (0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 % acha – orange fleshed sweet potatoes flour blends) which were apportioned into separate cages, it meaned 5 groups only.</p> <p>The results showed A protein and carbohydrate rich weaning food that is comparable to commercial weaning food (cerelac) can be strategically formulated from the blends of acha and orange fleshed sweet potato (75:25), what is the difference to the group of 100:0?</p>	<p>Thank you for the observation.</p> <p>There was a mistake in the major groups and it has been corrected in the manuscript. The corrected sentence is provided below</p> <p>... The rats were divided into 7 major groups (based on the ACHA – orange-fleshed sweet potatoes flour blends) into separate cages...</p> <p>From the investigation, the sample with 75 % ACHA and 25 % Orange-fleshed sweet potato (OFSP) had the best nutritional quality based on protein and carbohydrate. Therefore, they are concluded to possibly compete favorably with commercial weaning foods.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	