

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_87888
Title of the Manuscript:	PERSISTENT MICROBIAL CONTAMINANTS IN HAWKED 'OGI' AND PUBLIC HEALTH CONCERNS IN COVID-19 ERA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The research is of current importance, however, I recommend that the aim, keywords and introduction be restructured considering that food contamination by covid-19 was not investigated in this work. Below are the paragraphs.</p> <p>Aim: "COVID-19 and other co-infections may be permanent health challenges". Put it in the context of guarantee the quality and safety of all the agents involved in the processes of the food chain in the covid times.</p> <p>Keywords: "COVID-19" include the word pandemic.</p> <p>Introduction: "experts have informed the citizens of the world that there could be an overlap between COVID-19 and the flu virus" I consider this to be outside the context of the research presented in the manuscript. I understood that the manuscript presents information regarding the importance of Food safety in times of COVID-19 and the need to "guarantee food safety for those diseases that are transmitted by this route and that can increase their probability of appearance."</p> <p>MATERIAL AND METHODS</p> <p>Statistical analysis was not included.</p> <p>Table 1 does not show the statistical analysis for the microbial load of fungal.</p>	<p>The guarantee of quality and safety of foods and all the agents involved in the processes of the food chain in the covid times is of public health concern</p> <p>COVID-19 pandemic</p> <p>Although vaccines have been developed, the effect of the pandemic on food security is observable [1]. Furthermore, there are concerns of safe food handling and personal hygiene of food workers.</p> <p>$4.4 \pm 0.7^a \times 10^6$</p> <p>$1.2 \pm 0.07^b \times 10^5$</p> <p>$1.3 \pm 0.7^c \times 10^6$</p> <p>$1.5 \pm 0.7^b \times 10^6$</p> <p>$1.3 \pm 0.02^d \times 10^6$</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: "These microorganisms are probiotics (beneficial microorganisms), which contribute nutritionally to the end product" I think it is not necessary to mention "(beneficial microorganisms)"</p> <p>MATERIAL AND METHODS</p> <p>Review and correct the writing of units of measure, such as degrees Celsius.</p> <p>"4 - 7 °C", "at 28 °C for 72 h. MRS agar plates were incubated at 35±2°C"</p>	<p>"(beneficial microorganisms)" deleted</p> <p>°C changed to degrees Celsius</p>
Optional/General comments	The manuscript exposes a topic of current interest such as the consumption of safe and local foods in this COVID-19 pandemic, however, this was not conveniently stated in the aim, keywords and introduction, as already indicated. It is recommended to make these changes so that the research carried out is conveniently presented.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues