

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_86203
Title of the Manuscript:	Functional and nutritional properties of various flour blends of arrowroot starch and wheat flour
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Author reported studies on Functional and nutritional properties of various flour blends of arrowroot starch and wheat flour. The manuscript is good innovative and would add little novelty to the literature.	We are excited with your review comments.
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-Figure 1: should be deleted as long as the reference is mentioned.-Paragraph 'Apparatus' should be inserted.-A paragraph should be included about the chemicals used, their purity and origin.- In arithmetic relations, you must put a percentage % at the end of the relationship-In all the tables, RSD% values should be listed at least.-The number of samples analyzed (N=?) should be included in each table.-All Tables should be reformatted.-All references should be written in the same style and according to the type of journal and listed in the alphabetical order of the author's name.-In most places. English needs to be polished.	The corrections have been effected on the manuscript. Thank you for your meticulousness in this review work.
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is generally interesting and well written. My overall opinion on the text is positive and I have found only few technical issues that should be corrected.	Thank you.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There is no ethical issue.