

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_85770
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation, identification and characterization of potential probiotics from fermented food products
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Complement the discussed findings with a collaborative reference in Section 3.1.2. Figure 2 isn't clear, improved resolution of figure is required.3. Section 2.6.1, 2.6.2, 2.6.3, and 2.6.4 provide reference to the adopted methods.	Section 3.1 had been revised with relevant references Figure 2 is attached as a pdf file with the submitted files Section 2.6.1 – 2.6.4 had been revised
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Line 142 “waes” correct to was2. Line 142, 143 and 173 “mins” differ from “min” use the appropriate throughout accordingly.3. Line 419 reference journal name isn't stated. Correct line 450 and 453 references journal names (“italicized” or “straight”).4. Cross-check line 424, 426 and 435 references and correct them accordingly to suit the journal referencing style.	All issues corrected as noted
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Table 2 caption is misplaced. Consider a landscape style to get the table fixed rightly for results presentation.	Table 2 still in portrait but adjusted. When the table was changed to landscape, the whole content changed also

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	