

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_85555
Title of the Manuscript:	Fortification of Nano Whey Protein-Meniran Phyllanthine (<i>Phyllanthus niruri</i> L.) Encapsulated Casein Hydrolysates on Physicochemical Properties of Skimmed Milk Powder
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The research topic is well equipped within the journal scope and easy to follow. Still some minor revisions are needed to publish this article in Asian Food Science Journal. Please find the following minor comments. 1. The English language of the research article must be checked by English experts. 2. Introduction part must be improved by citing recently published articles. 3. Clearly mention the novelty of the current research in the introduction part. 4. If possible, include more number of graphs in the results section. Text form explanation sometimes reduce the authors interest to read the paper. 5. Comparative analysis should be included in the results section by including past/published study reports and current study reports. 6. Conclusion part need to be enhanced.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Checked by English experts (person proficient in English, grammarly apps)2. Fixed in the manuscript (highlight in yellow color)3. Highlight in green color4. There are five data resulted in the manuscript. Authors assumed that figures and/or graphics used to present large amount of data5. Have been compared6. Fixed in the manuscript (highlight in yellow color)
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues in this manuscript.