

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_83872
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical Characterization of Solutions from Cooked Maize and Their Comparison with solutions from cooked beans: Their position between food and Fertilizer
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Language is very poor. Difficult to understand the concept. Materials and methods - not to the point. Author claim that a solution with 92% water is prepared. With this high amount of water, how their nutritional value can be described	Sorry for my poor English. I will continue to work hard for that purpose. Concerning the high amount of water (92%), it is simply normal since we are working here with fluids. The same remarks were done with urine (Richert et al, 2011) and left-over-water from beans cooking (Fopoussi Tuebue et Tchinda, 2021b). So, I don't understand the worry of the reviewer. However, I'm grateful for his expertise.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	