

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_82606
Title of the Manuscript:	UTILIZATION OF POLYSACCHARIDES EXTRACTED FROM TOFU PROCESSING WASTEWATER FOR MICROENCAPSULATION PROCESSES IN THE FOOD INDUSTRY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1- I Suggest that you change the keywords to recycle wastewater ,polysaccharides of waste , polysaccharides utilization , tofu waste , polysaccharides microcapsulation . and remove the following words ; tofu , photomicrogra . 2- The sources are few in this research and the order of the sources must be taken into account .	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The key words have been changed as suggested: waste water polysaccharides, utilization of wastewater polysaccharides, tofu waste water, microencapsulation2. We cannot understand which sources the reviewer is referring to. If he is referring to the references, we only took into relevant literature related to the research topic. The corresponding author has had publications on tofu processing wastewater since 2002.
Minor REVISION comments	There are many tests that can be considered as a clear indicator of the efficiency of microcapsulation , why weren't they addressed ?	I quite agree with the reviewer's comment. However, authors only determined the MEE using the available facilities
Optional/General comments	Why has the antioxidant activity not been evaluated to confirm the efficiency of the microcapsulation and its biological effectiveness?	The evaluation of the antioxidant activity to confirm the MEE and its biological effectiveness was actually carried out through animal bio-assay. It will be submitted as a new manuscript for peer review

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	