

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_81925
Title of the Manuscript:	Trigonella foenum graecum (FENUGREEK) : AN HERB WITH IMPRESSIVE HEALTH BENEFITS AND PHARMACOLOGICAL THERAPEUTIC EFFECTS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<div>1. Many sentences/information throughout the manuscript have serious flaws that withdrawn my attention from it.</div> <div>2. Many non-scientific and incorrect/wrong information/sentences are there, which may mislead the readers.</div> <div>4. Every section of the manuscript must be written more effectively according to the published literature with appropriate references..</div> <div>7. Need to change the introduction considerably. Try to include the existing research limitations also, how the present research unravels those limits.</div> <div>8. English is poor. The authors need to improve their writing style. The whole manuscript needs to be checked by native English speakers.</div> <div>9. The conclusion needs to address future perspectives.</div> <div>10. Authors must check the references and rearrange them according to the journal guidelines.</div>	<div>Revision amended</div> <div>Correction made</div> <div>Done revision</div> <div>Done</div>
Minor REVISION comments	Huge grammatical errors observed throughout the article. The 'References' were not well organized. So, all of the references must be checked and rearranged according to the author guidelines.	Grammar revised
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<div>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</div>	