

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_81651
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Blanching on the Physicochemical Characteristics, nutrient Composition and sensory Properties of ‘Banga’ Sauce Produced from Fresh Oil Palm Fruits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments i. Abstract ii 2.3 Physico chemical Analysis iii 2.5 Sensory Evaluation iv 3.1 Proximate composition v 3.2 Physico chemical Properties vi 3.3 Solid Fat Content vii 3.3 Sensory Properties viii. References	i. Line 7; Add level of sig. (p,<0.05); line 12: write SFC in full ; line 16: write difference ii. Line 2: write saponification, not Saponification iii. Line 3: semi-trained, not Semi-trained iv. Line 11: write difference, not different; line 12: put semi colon after time, not comma; decreased, not decrease v. Line 7: put ; after [22], not comma; line 10: write being, not been; line 12: write iodine value and then (IV); line 22: put ; after (p<0.05), not comma vi. Line 7: write ; after temperature, not comma vii. Replace subtopic with '3.4 Sensory Properties'; line 4/5: use [31], not (Hutching, 1999); line 8: put ; after (8,10), not comma viii. Use journal style and be consistent	Revision amended  Corrected  Done  Revised  Done
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The paper is good.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	