

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_78586
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of different extracting solvents on non-phenolic phytochemical profiles of some Nigerian spices and spice-treated foods
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This article presents an important study on the contents of non-phenolic compounds in spices before and after use in food. The methodology is incomplete, because it did not describe the treatment made to rice, vegetable, beef and pork. The correct name is <i>Tetrapleura tetraptera</i> .	The authors have updated the methodology of the manuscript as recommended, indicating the treatments that were done to rice, vegetable, beef and pork. The spelling error has been corrected all through the manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.