

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_76740
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of roasting and γ -irradiated peanuts on toxicological parameters of Wistar rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Effects of roasting and γ -irradiated peanuts on toxicological parameters of Wistar rats to study effect of peanuts after irradiated on body weight, blood glucose, cholesterol, serum protein and LFT this is very good study irradiation of peanuts to increase safety and acceptability Good job	Noted OK
Minor REVISION comments	This is good paper to study and handle with Wistar rats and known nutrition value of peanuts	OK
Optional/General comments	The table should be Infront of reference, the reference list is the last one Gives good information regarding peanuts irradiated on body weight, blood glucose, and total blood parameters (Haemoglobin, MCV, MCH, MCHC, ESR, WBC, RBC, eosinophil, and lymphocyte count) cholesterol, serum protein Reference is the last one	Noted Revised

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	