

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AFSJ_76261
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Fermented Maize Residue Addition on the Physico-chemical and Sensory Properties of Chin-Chin
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Results are provided without discussing them.  Often one or two sentences are provided with each table, where often it repeats what the table is about – basically the table header. Results should be discussed.  I saw very few reference to the results- Tables- in the Discussion section.  My advice is that the results and Discussion sections should be rewritten.	I do not agree  I do not agree  I do not agree  I do not agree
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	