

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_73772
Title of the Manuscript:	A Brief Review: Occurrence of Aflatoxins in raw and parboiled rice in Sri Lankan market
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>A major drawbacks with this article were :</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- No data on the level of starch gelatinization in parboiled rice</li><li>- No information about temperature and humidity of warehouse commonly used to store the raw rice and parboiled rice</li><li>- The data used is very old, the latest data should be used at least to obtain data on aflatoxin content in raw rice and parboiled rice for several storage periods</li><li>- There is no information about the variety of rice used. Is the same variety of rice used for raw and parboiled rice in this data?</li></ul>	Agreed. but no data was available about the level of starch gelatinization Agreed and included in the revised form Agreed but these are the only available data in Sri Lanka and this review aims on encouraging more research in the same stream Agreed and it is not available in the research papers used
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The content of the paper is in line with the scope of the journal and addresses an important research question. No doubt about that. However, in my point of view, the paper does need some revision before publication.	Agreed and revised

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	