

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_88418
Title of the Manuscript:	Perceived Seasonal Impact of Air Pollution on Health and Properties in Port Harcourt
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here).
Compulsory REVISION comments		Thank you for the observations and all corrections have been implemented
Minor REVISION comments	The corrections to be made are: The Title "Perception of the seasonal impact of air pollution on health and property in Port Harcourt" Pag 1: Abstract; The results presented here are very poor, it would be necessary to include more information from the applied surveys. Pag 1: Fifty percent instead of 50% Pag. 2: it would be necessary to put a table with the permissible limits of gases in the atmosphere. International and national legislation if it exists. It is the only data of permissible values that is presented. Are only PM2.5 important? What about the other atmospheric pollutants? The sheet should be identified as a figure. And it should have a caption. Pag. 3: As soon as they exceed national and international regulations Homogenize "Port Harcourt" or "Port-Harcourt" Pag. 6: What are the values that were substituted in the formula to obtain the 400 respondents? Pag 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11: The section numbering sequence was not followed. Pag 12. Implications of this study: Although it is true that the perception of the inhabitants is important in the elaboration of public policies, that is only an adjuvant. Public pollution policies should be based on measurements of atmospheric pollutants in the impacted area at different distances from the supposed point sources and from the entire study area and only be complemented with perceptions, but it is incorrect to make public policies exclusively with perception. Pag 12: Conclusions: The conclusions should be limited to what is thrown by the surveys and not make other types of assumptions? There are some spelling and grammar mistakes.	
Optional/General comments	I believe that the article should be modified before being accepted for publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	