

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_84305
Title of the Manuscript:	SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPORTANT OF BEEKEEPING IN LAFIA LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF NASARAWA STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p><b>ABSTRACT</b> The abstract is good. The statistical results supported the findings of the Authors.</p> <p><b>INTRODUCTION</b> The review captures the world. However, the overall aim of the study is not properly stated; no research questions at all. The listed objectives are not numbered. These need re-working.</p> <p><b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b> This is good..</p> <p><b>DISCUSSION</b> There is typographical error regarding the sub-topic. "acc0rding instead of according".</p> <p>Also, line 1 under the sub-topic need re-structuring.</p> <p><b>CONCLUSION</b> From line 1 to others change "beekeeping for Beekeeping.</p> <p>No further research from this study is stated. Similarly, no recommendations from the research to the stakeholders on how to improve the productivity of Beekeeping in Nigeria as a whole. These needs to be added.</p> <p><b>REFERENCES</b> Some title of the article has "....." while others do not have. Authors should remove " " for all.</p>	<p>Okay</p> <p>All the suggested correction have been done</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	