

**Editor's Comment:**

The article continues with major flaws in its wording, although the utores have managed to make some improvements from the previous version to this. At this moment the chapter that needs improvement is that of the Discussion. The authors present a discussion organized by objectives that have never been presented before. They present these objectives numbered with Objective 1, 3, 5 and 6??? There is still a scarce bibliographic support, with 15 references. In confronting the results of this study with existing ones, the authors should not write: "The findings were supported by the study conducted by (15)" They should identify which studies and respective authors corroborate the results, followed by the numerical identification attributed in the list of references.

**Editor's Details:**

Dr. Carla Maria Ferreira Guerreiro da Silva Mendes  
Nurse Director at Centro Hospitalar de Setúbal, Portugal