

Editor's Comment:

The authors adapted the article to some suggestions from the reviewers. However, there is still in my opinion the need to:

- Opt for a shorter article title;
- Reduce the abstract so that in total it does not exceed 250 words. The Background section must be shorter and include the objectives of the study. The Results section is excessive.
- Introduction - the objectives of the study are not presented
- Materials and Methods- inconsistent presentation. Much missing information, namely: - Correct definition of the study population; Definition of the size of the population and the sample, how it specifies and operationalizes the sampling technique; Sample representativeness; - How the data collection instrument is constituted. Kinds of questions. Pretest? Validation technique and reliability of the Data Collection Instrument. Form of data processing (type of program used and type of statistics and statistical tests)
- Discussion- weak confrontation of the results with other existing studies.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Carla Maria Ferreira Guerreiro da Silva Mendes
Nurse Director at Centro Hospitalar de Setúbal, Portugal