

Editor's Comment:

Case reports not provide a level of evidence equivalent to that of other, more refined forms of scientific work. However, they are especially useful in qualitatively detecting novelties, although they fall short in quantitative confirmation. Case reports are scientific observations, ***if carefully documented***, may be a valuable education and research source.

In this manuscript, you present a case of GIST. It is an interesting report; however, I identified some pitfalls that deserve revision.

Major comments.

Introduction. You did not explain the reasons that lead you to report this case. What is the unusual condition?

Discussion. This section is too short and do not relate this case with previous cases in the literature. You did not specify the unusual condition of this GIST you are reporting. Your case misses the educational message for the readers. How does it help the reader to approach similar cases in his/her practice?

Illustrations. The surgery photographs are excellent; however, I missed a microphotograph of the lesion as well as the immunohistochemistry. I think it would be appealing if you add those to the manuscript figures.

Minor comments.

- See the manuscript track changes.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Renato Borges Fagundes
Professor, Federal University of Santa Maria (RS), Brazil