

Editor's Comment:

I recommend further revision for this paper.

1. The Methodology section should be improved. The author should critically discuss the selection of methods, demonstrate their previous application in similar studies, reveal advantages and disadvantages. The selection of methods should be thoroughly substantiated
2. The Discussion component is weak. The author should discuss the findings in a critical manner through the lens of previous studies. There should be demonstrated similarities and dissimilarities, the author should clearly emphasize the novelty of the study in comparison with other studies, as well as to underline specific contributions of the study to the literature
3. Conclusion should be rewritten and expanded. The author should demonstrate whether the aim has been achieved, summarize major findings and novelty, outline potential implications of findings in future academic literature and policies, and list limitations and problems of the model and methodology

Editor's Details:

Dr. Vasilii Erokhin
School of Economics and Management, Harbin Engineering University, China