

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_123880
Title of the Manuscript:	Managing Aggressive Small Cell Lung Cancer in a Young Smoker: A Case Report of Rapid Progression and Severe Complications
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<div><div>➤</div><div>This case report describes a 35-year-old male with small cell lung carcinoma (SCLC), an aggressive neuroendocrine tumor. He presented with a left hilar mass and extensive metastasis to the spine and right hip, accompanied by symptoms of shortness of breath, cough, weight loss, and chest pain. His history included chronic smoking. Despite receiving chemotherapy, the patient died from acute complications. The report emphasizes the rarity and aggressive nature of SCLC, which accounts for 15% of lung cancers, and underscores the need for early diagnosis and aggressive treatment. Further research is crucial to improving prognosis and treatment strategies for SCLC.</div></div> <div><div>➤</div><div>Here, A 35-year-old male with a 20-pack-year smoking history, COPD, and hypertension is presented with worsening shortness of breath, cough, weight loss, chest and back pain. Examination revealed cachexia, severe respiratory distress, hypotension, tachycardia, and tenderness over the spine and right hip. He received high-flow oxygen, inotropic support, and pain management.</div></div> <div><div>➤</div><div>SCLC's aggressive nature and poor prognosis, especially with extensive metastasis, are highlighted in this case. Despite chemotherapy, the patient's condition rapidly worsened. Early detection and novel therapies, such as immunotherapy, are critical for improving outcomes. Early palliative care is essential for managing advanced SCLC and enhancing quality of life.</div></div>	<div>Noted</div> <div>OK</div>
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	SCLC's aggressive nature and poor prognosis, especially with extensive metastasis, are highlighted in this case. Despite chemotherapy, the patient's condition rapidly worsened. Early detection and novel therapies, such as immunotherapy, are critical for improving outcomes. Early palliative care is essential for managing advanced SCLC and enhancing quality of life.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	

Review Form 3

Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Here, A 35-year-old male with a 20-pack-year smoking history, COPD, and hypertension is presented with worsening shortness of breath, cough, weight loss, chest and back pain. Examination revealed cachexia, severe respiratory distress, hypotension, tachycardia, and tenderness over the spine and right hip. He received high-flow oxygen, inotropic support, and pain management.	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	