

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_124380
Title of the Manuscript:	Significance of Research in Green Design of product: An Overview
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• I like the manuscript because the in-text citation is fine</li><li>• The author made a good move in the abstract section.</li><li>• The literature review contains some citations that are commendable</li><li>• General reference is also fine as it contains all articles referred to in the manuscript</li></ul>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is good but it would have been better called - The Significance of Research in Green Product Design: An Overview	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive enough. 178 words in total inclusive of keywords.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure is fine but it would have been better if the author will create a section called RESEARCH FINDINGS. This is where the readers will capture the benefits of this research work.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•The manuscript is sound as it is speaking on the benefits of carrying out research work on green design of product.</li><li>•The report is trying to encourage more research work on this particular area.</li><li>• It is also encouraging the construction industry to embark on recycling and reusing their waste products to minimize carbon emissions.</li></ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•Yes, the references are comprehensive enough.</li><li>• It looks more scientific to rearrange the references in alphabetical order. For instance, all authors with the names starting with the letter “A’ should be considered first, then followed by the letter “B” and so on.</li><li>• The same thing applies to the keywords under the abstract section</li></ul>	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality is acceptable but the author needs to recheck the manuscript using check your grammar websites. There are some grammatical errors in the manuscript, which if ignored, may affect the quality of the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Obododike Ekwuno
Department, University & Country	South Africa