

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biosciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORIB_1785
Title of the Manuscript:	Hemipteran Insect Pests Associated with Different Agricultural Crops from Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar (M.S), India.
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript tries to identify the hemipteran insect pest diversity from Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar, Maharashtra. The diversity study needs to be carried out in a scientific manner. The manuscript needs to focus on the research pertaining. It is suggested to improve with the highlighted suggestions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need improvement. Mention the methodology and highlight the contribution of the present study in proper manner.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Need major revision. The author should thoroughly review and revise the manuscript before submitting it to the journal. Taxonomic identification should be discussed. Species identification should be proper. Needs revision in the table and figures as per the comments. Results needs to interpret properly followed by discussion	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not appropriate.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	ok	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sankarganesh E
Department, University & Country	Bihar Agricultural University, India