

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biosciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORIB_1785
Title of the Manuscript:	Hemipteran Insect Pests Associated with Different Agricultural Crops from Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar (M.S), India.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

S
PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is very important from an agricultural , environmental and economic point of view , It is also necessary and important in the general management of agricultural pest control or integrated pest control programs. and has many benefits in the future if taken into consideration.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes , but i Suggest the following title ((Hemiptera insect pests associated with various crops in Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar, India (M.S).)).	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive. I do not suggest anything regarding this.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes , the references are sufficient, but it would be better if the article was supported by modern references from the last five years	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In the references section, the DOI for each reference in the references list must be mentioned if available	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alyaa Abdul-Ridha Hanash
Department, University & Country	Wasit University, Iraq