

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Basic and Applied Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ABAARJ_1792</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ACCELERATING AND DECELERATING CORONAL MASS EJECTIONS (CMEs) DURING SOLAR CYCLES 23 AND 24.</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1:** Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes  (i)In figure 3 to 7, X-axis scale is mentioned as 0, 2 correct it to full year(like 2000,2002,...). (ii)In the conclusion part, write down what you have found in this research paper don't want to write the reference	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes  In 4.1 ANALYSIS ON MONTHLY SUNSPOT AREA first para Third line author noted the reference Ramesh and Rohimi but I searched its original paper Rohimi name is missed, so delete it or give the proof.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Having some grammatical mistake	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	If the Author of this paper agree to accept make these corrections means Accept this research paper	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	M.S.Dhaiya
Department, University & Country	Manonmanium Sundaranar University, India