

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Current Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12698
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Experimental Study of Lighting Simulation with Different Diameter of Light Pipes for Office Buildings</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of „**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to

visit this link: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Office buildings are very important spaces because they accommodate office workers who spend most of their time there. As a result, research that tends towards providing effective lighting and comfort for office occupants within these spaces is very essential in contemporary research study.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>An Experimental Study of Lighting Simulation with Different Diameters of Light Pipes for Office Buildings in Dhaka City</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The Abstract is comprehensive enough but the author should improve on it by telling the audience the likely beneficiaries of his research</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>There are currently a total of 15 references.5 of them are not recent. The author should have more references with current recency. In addition, the author should adopt the in text reference style for the journal for better compliance.</b>	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The Language and English quality is quite suitable for scholarly communication but I will recommend the author uses grammarly to fine tune the manuscript for spelling checks and clarity of communication	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The length of the article is too long and should be further shortened to maybe 15-16 pages.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Emokpae Murphy Erebor
Department, University & Country	Ajayi Crowther University Oyo State Nigeria, Nigeria