

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Current Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCR_12698
Title of the Manuscript:	Experimental Study of Lighting Simulation with Different Diameter of Light Pipes for Office Buildings
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The Manuscript significantly contributes to the scientific community by the following aspects:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The findings of the author offer crucial insights for architects and engineers in optimizing the design of daylighting systems in buildings. 2. As they systematically investigated the relationship between diameter and illumination levels, their research contributes to the broader body of knowledge on daylighting strategies. 	

	<p>3. The best part in any research work is to identify limitations and suggestions which provides a roadmap for future investigations in the specified area. In this case, it's potentially leading to more innovative and effective daylighting solutions.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the manuscript is reflection of the research work. Certainly, it suits well to the article. It is so precise and relevant as per my understanding.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Firstly, the findings of this research yields that there exists a trade-off between increased illumination and decreased uniformity, it must be highlighted in the abstract. Secondly, there must be the brief note of the simulation tools used. Which can better enhance the clarity and credibility. I guess by this way the abstract would be more impactfull and informative.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>Manuscript sounds scientifically correct as it uses well defined approach. It possess clear objective, appropriate methodology, exquisite data presentation and discussion of limitations. In contrast, I think there could other parameters be addressed such as <u>energy consumption analysis</u> and <u>sensitivity analysis</u>.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The document possess sufficient number of references, which covers most of the area addressed. However, author can include articles that specifically address the impact of diameter on factors such as glare, and thermal performance.</p>	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and the basic terminologies used are comprehensible. The interpretation of the work has been done in a fascile way. The manuscript is truly exquisite. I would suggest to counter check the spellings of the document.	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript displays a remarkable contribution to the understanding of light pipe technology in building design. By investigating the impact of varying light pipe diameters on daylighting performance, the study provides valuable insights for architects and engineers.</p> <p>I truly appreciate the stupendous efforts of the authors. I wish them all the goodness in their life. Your work is inspiration for the future reserchers.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alina Latif
Department, University & Country	Govt Girls Postgraduate College Quetta Cantt, Pakistan