Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Advances in Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AIR_125417**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ANIMAL PROTECTION IN BRAZIL: HOW THE LEGISLATION AND THE SOCIETY EVOLVED IN THE LAST CENTURY**
Type of the Article	**Minireview Article**

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it has contributed to knowledge as far as animal protection is concerned. Some of the changes in terms of legislation as well as societal changes have been highlighted in the manuscript. The Brazilian animal protection policy has been analysed in addition to the recent events and developments made by the society.** **SEE ATTACHMENT**	
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**	**The title is suitable. However it can be slightly changed to '**ANIMAL PROTECTION IN BRAZIL: LEGISLATIVE AND THE SOCIETAL EVOLVEMENT IN THE LAST CENTURY'.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**The abstract of the article is comprehensive. The abstract can remain as it is.**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate as it has addressed sections that are found in a manuscript for instance introduction, materials and methods, results and discussion as well as conclusion.**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**The manuscript is scientifically correct since it has conducted a thorough data collection using different data collection methods, has included an in-depth analysis of laws and treaties and applicable penalties to some of the mistreatment done to the animals in Brazil. Results and discussion have been done and presented well. Additionally, the manuscript has made conclusions making it scientifically robust and technically sound.**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. **-**	**The references are sufficient and relatively recent.**	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for the scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is generally good and very informative on issues of animal protection in Brazil.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Godhard Muiruri Kariuki**
Department, University & Country	**Laikipia University, Kenya**