

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_125417
Title of the Manuscript:	ANIMAL PROTECTION IN BRAZIL: HOW THE LEGISLATION AND THE SOCIETY EVOLVED IN THE LAST CENTURY
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This review provides an important summary of the laws and attitudes in animal welfare. I feel that the paper is an important vehicle in communicating to the scientific community and the public on this important topic. I particularly like the specific mentioning of animal welfare cases and the resolutions (i.e., creation of new protection laws).	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The MS is robust due to a well-researched approach and a comprehensive literature search. The technical approach is adequate and contains references from recent years. The authors were complete in their technical writing.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references adequately cover the topic and contain recent access dates from web sites and recent literature from the past few years as well as covering the historic literature for context.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I would recommend that the paper be reviewed by an editor to correct misspelled words. I found several misspelled words, including (listed here in misspelled form): litterature, incresasing, antropomophism, antromopomorphic, and thar. Also “english” should be capitalized. In addition, when mentioning “pitbull terrier” – the name should be either capitalized or not capitalized for consistency.	Thank you for the spelling corrections – the alterations were done
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	This literature review does not raise any ethical issues. The descriptions of animal abuse reported in the article are freely accessible on Brazilian official or scientific communication channels.