Estimation of standard heterosis over environments for fruit yield and its attributes in tomato (*Solanumlycopersicum* L.)

.

ABSTRACT

Heterosis breeding has shown to be a promising technique for enhancing crop yields. It allows for the early identification of superior or potential crosses, which is essential for managing the material efficiently and productively in subsequent generations. An experiment was conducted to evaluate 40 genotypes in three different environments. The experimental material consisted of forty genotypes; representing 28 hybrids developed in line x tester mating of 7 lines and 4 testers and check 'ArkaRakshak'. Significant and high estimates of standard heterosis were observed for fruit yield per plant in all locations by seven hybrids. These crosses can be utilized for commercial cultivation and may also be developed further, as they are likely to produce superior transgressive segregants.

Keywords: Standard heterosis, over the enviorments, line x tester, Tomato

1. INTRODUCTION

Vegetables are an essential component of a healthy diet, providing a wealth of nutritional benefits. They are rich in essential vitamins, minerals, and dietary fibre, which are crucial for maintaining overall health and preventing chronic diseases. Vegetables are also low in calories and high in fibre, making them effective for weight management by promoting a feeling of fullness and reducing overall calorie intake. Regular consumption of vegetables is associated with a lower risk of heart disease, stroke, certain cancers, and digestive issues due to their antioxidant properties. Tomato (*Solanumlycopersicum* L.) (2n=2x=24), originating from Peru and Ecuador (Rick, 16), is a highly significant solanaceous vegetable crop, particularly cultivated in tropical and subtropical regions. In numerous countries, it is referred to as the "poor man's orange" due to its appealing appearance and nutritional value (Bose et al., 2). Tomato is cooked as vegetable alone or eaten raw when ripe. Due to its fair amount of vitamin C and other elements like calcium, phosphorus, and iron, this fruit has a good nutritional value. Considering its low cost, it qualifies for inclusion in the daily diet of young and growing children (Stahl, 18). Lycopene, which is abundant in the fruit, may have positive health effects. Carotenoid lycopene may be involved in cancer prevention, reducing the risk of cardiovascular disease and limiting the mortality of other chronic diseases. The major tomato producing countries are China, India and Turkey. India ranks second in the world production with the total area of 845 (000' Ha) producing 218000 (000' MT) with the productivity of 25.5 t/ha (Anon., 1). The states viz., Andhra Pradesh, Odhisa, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, West Bengal, and Bihar are the major tomato producing states in the country. In Gujarat, its area and production during 2022-23 was 67.87 (000' Ha) and 1922.20 (000' m tonnes) respectively, representing ten major tomato growing districts of state viz., Banaskantha, Ahmedabad, Mahesana, Anand, Kheda, Chhotaudepur, Sabarkantha, Valsad, Kutch and Vadodara. The landscape of tomato production in the country has dramatically evolved over the past few decades with the rising popularity of hybrids. It is crucial to develop hybrids with high yield potential and superior quality. Tomato has significant potential for improvement through heterosis breeding, which can be leveraged to create desirable recombinants. Heterosis breeding, or the exploitation of hybrid vigor, is a vital plant breeding method to produce high-yielding hybrids. Heterotic crosses indicate productive transgressive segregates, and the degree of heterosis provides insights into genetic control. Estimating heterosis can help determine whether hybrids are economically valuable and worth utilizing. Among various genetic approaches to overcome yield barriers in tomato, heterosis breeding is the most powerful. Understanding the nature and extent of heterosis in different cross combinations is essential for identifying crosses with high levels of exploitable heterosis. Thus, present investigation was carried out to estimate heterosis for yield and its components using line x tester mating design in tomato.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Present investigation was carried out during Rabi 2021-22 at three different locations was carried out at three different locations *viz*., Vegetable Research Station, Regional Horticulture Research Station (R.H.R.S), NAU, Navsari (L1) (20.94°N, 72.95°E), Hill Millet Research Station, NAU, Waghai (L2), (20.77°N, 73.49°E) and Cotton Research Substation, NAU, Achhaliya (L3) (21.78°N, 73.27°E) situated in the region of south Gujarat.The experimental material consisted of 40 genotypes; representing 28 hybrids developed in line x tester mating of 7 lines, 4 testers (Seven lines *viz*., NTL-19, NTL-24, NTL-25, NTL-27, NTL-42, NTL-45, NTL-50 and four testers *viz*., ArkaAnamika, DVRT-2, GT-6, GT-7 and AT-3) and check 'ArkaRakshak'.All the genotypes were evaluated in Randomized Block Design (RBD) replicated thrice in three environments. Observations were recorded on 14 characters *viz*., days to 50 % flowering, plant height (cm), branches per plant, fruits per plant, fruit yield per plant (kg), fruit weight (g), fruit length (cm), fruit girth (cm), pericarp thickness (mm), locules per fruit, total soluble solids (%), titrable acidity (mg/100g), ascorbic acid (mg/100g) and lycopene content (mg/100g). The data was analyzed using the Line x Tester method, and the magnitude of heterosis was calculated according to standard procedures. The significance of heterosis was assessed using the formula recommended by Wynne *et al*. (10).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The current study uses heterosis analysis to determine the optimal parent combination for a high degree of standard heterosis, exploits this combination to produce better and more profitable transgressive segregants, and characterises the parents in order to assess their potential for use in a breeding program in the future. Table 1 displays the ranges of the mean, standard heterosis range, and number of significant crosses. Different heterotic effects vary in strength and degree from one cross to the next and from one character to the next. A significant degree of standard heterosis was noted in the fruit production and its characteristics. The magnitude of heterosis varied in different locations for various traits. In case of days to 50 % flowering, negative heterosis is preferred since early flowering would result in a greater number of fruits and a better fruit production. Earliness also leads to early supply of the produce in the market and enables it to fetch a higher price (Khan and Jindal, 5). The range of standard heterosis for days to 50 % flowering from -15.15 % (NTL-24 × AT-3) to 15.15 % (NTL-45 x GT-6) at Navsari (L1), -12.88 % (NTL-45 × GT-7) to 12.88 % (NTL-45 × GT-6) at Waghai (L2) and -13.20 % (NTL-24 × AT-3) to 16.54 % (NTL-25 × GT-6) at Achhaliya (L3). The hybrid *viz*., NTL-24 × AT-3 (-15.15 %) at Navsari (L1), NTL-45 × GT-7 (- 12.88 %) and NTL-24 × AT-3 (-10.61 %) at Waghai (L2) while, hybrids *viz*., NTL-24 × AT-3 (-13.20 %) and NTL-24 × DVRT-2 (-11.28 %) at Achhaliya (L3) had significant and negative standard heterosis for day to 50 % flowering. Similar report was found by Kathimba*et al*. (3) and Madhavi *et al*. (12).The ideal plant type is one which is long and acts as source trait to support yield and its component traits (Khan and Jindal, 5). The range of standard heterosis for plant height (cm) was -17.78 % (NTL-27 × AT-3) to 30.53 % (NTL-24 × GT-6) at Navsari (L1), -18.90 % (NTL-42 × DVRT-2) to 27.74 % (NTL-19 × GT-6) at Waghai (L2) and -16.24 % (NTL-27 × AT-3) to 18.77 % (NTL-24 × GT-6) at Achhaliya (L3). The best performing hybrids for standard heterosis were *viz*., NTL-24 × GT-6 (30.53 %), NTL-19 × GT-6 (20.13 %) and NTL-25 × GT-6 (20.03 %) at Navsari (L1), NTL-19 × GT-6 (27.74 %), NTL-24 × GT-6 (24.95 %) and NTL-25 × GT-6 (20.89 %) at Waghai (L2) while, hybrids *viz*., NTL-24 × GT-6 (18.77 %), NTL-19 × GT-7 (18.47 %) and NTL-42 × GT-6 (9.07 %) at Achhaliya (L3). These findings are in conformity with Liu *et al*. (11) and Madhavi *et al*. (12). the number of primary branches per plant is one of the major contributing traits for fruit yield per plant (kg), hence, positive heterosis is desirable for this trait The range of standard heterosis for branches per plant was - 35.51 % (NTL-42 ×GT-7) to 36.96 % (NTL-42 × DVRT-2) at Navsari (L1), -34.72 % (NTL-24 × DVRT-2) to 24.31 % (NTL-42 × DVRT-2, NTL-45 × GT-6) at Waghai (L2) and -39.57 % (NTL-42 ×AT-7) to 23.02 % (NTL-42 × DVRT-2) at Achhaliya (L3). For branches per plant, top three hybrids *viz*., NTL-42 × DVRT-2 (36.96 %) followed by, NTL-45 × GT-6 (28.99 %) and NTL-25 × DVRT-2 (27.54 %) at Navsari (L1), NTL-42 × DVRT-2 (24.31 %), NTL-45 × GT-6 (24.31 %) and NTL-25 × DVRT2 (16.67%) at Waghai (L2) whereas, NTL-42 × DVRT-2 (23.03 %), NTL-25 × DVRT2 (20.14%) and NTL-45 × GT-6 (7.19 %), at Achhaliya (L3) exhibited significant and positive standard heterosis. Similar result was reported by Khalil and Mahmoud (4) and Madhavi *et al*. (12). Number of fruits per plant is economically important character to get higher yield. Standard heterosis for fruit yield per plant is positively associated with heterosis for number of fruits per plant (Khan and Jindal, 5). Hence, emphasis should be given to developing such hybrids which possess more number of fruits per plant. The quantum of standard heterosis ranged for fruits per plant from -21.61 % (NTL-19 × AT-3) to 25.93 % (NTL-42 × DVRT-2) at Navsari (L1), -24.34 % (NTL25 × GT-7) to 23.20 % (NTL-42 × DVRT-2) at Waghai (L2) and -25.29 % (NTL-19 × AT-3) to 26.30 % (NTL-42 × DVRT-2) at Achhaliya (L3). The positive and significant hybrids for this trait were *viz*., NTL-42 × DVRT2 (25.93 %), NTL-45 × GT-6 (20.33 %) and NTL-25 × DVRT-2 (12.03 %) at atNavsari (L1); NTL-42 × DVRT-2 (23.20 %), NTL-50 × GT-6 (10.40 %) and NTL-45 × GT-6 (9.71 %) at Waghai (L2) and NTL-42 × DVRT-2 (26.30 %), NTL-25 × DVRT-2 (25.29 %) and NTL-45 × DVRT-2 (18.55 %) at Achhaliya (L3). Similar result was reported by Triveni *et al*. (19) and Kumar *et al*. (8). Improvement in fruit yield in tomato is one of most important breeding objective of plant breeder. So, the superiority of hybrids over best cultivated hybrid is essential for increasing its commercial value and yield in any crop is the final product of different yield components. Therefore, positive standard heterosis is highly desirable for this character (Khan and Jindal, 5). The quantum of standard heterosis ranged for fruit yield per plant (kg) from - 33.81 % (NTL-42 × GT-7) to 43.65 % (NTL-25 × DVRT-2) at Navsari (L1); -33.78 % (NTL-42 × GT-7) to 36.54 % (NTL-25 × DVRT-2) at Waghai (L2) and -36.08 % (NTL42 × GT-7) to 37.16 % (NTL-42 × DVRT-2) at Achhaliya (L3). Among hybrids top three hybrids were *viz*., NTL-25 × DVRT-2 (43.65 %), NTL-42 × DVRT-2 (42.76 %) and NTL-25 × AT-3 (37.35 %) at Navsari (L1), hybrids *viz*., NTL-25 × DVRT-2 (36.54 %), NTL-42 × DVRT-2 (29.68 %) and NTL-25 × AT-3 (28.76 %) at Waghai (L2) and hybrids *viz*., NTL-42 × DVRT-2 (37.16 %), NTL-25 × DVRT-2 (35.64 %) and NTL25 × AT-3 (34.89 %) at Achhaliya (L3). Similar finding in accordance to the above result has also been reported by Liu *et al*. (11), Kathimba*et al*. (3) and Madhavi *et al*. (12). Fruit weight (g) is one of the important component traits having positive correlation with the fruit yield. So, positive and significant heterosis is desirable for this trait (Khan and Jindal, 5). The magnitude of standard heterosis for this trait ranged between -20.87 % (NTL-19 × GT-7) to 25.86 % (NTL-25 × DVRT-2) at Navsari (L1); -19.48 % (NTL19 × GT-7) to 27.08 % (NTL-25 × DVRT-2) at Waghai (L2) and -26.22 % (NTL-19 × GT-7) to 23.64 % (NTL-25 × DVRT-2) at Achhaliya (L3). Top three hybrids for fruit weight were *viz*., NTL-25 × DVRT-2 (25.82 %), NTL-25 × AT-3 (16.11 %) and NTL-24 × GT-6 (10.02 %) at Navsari

(L1); NTL-25 × DVRT-2 (27.08 %), NTL-24 × GT-6 (11.97 %) and NTL-25 × AT-3 (11.95 %) at Waghai (L2); NTL-25 × DVRT-2 (23.64 %), NTL-25 × AT-3 (14.14 %) and NTL-27 × GT-6 (7.82 %) at Achhaliya (L3). Positive and significant standard heterosis were also found by Liu *et al*. (2021) and Madhavi *et al*. (12). Fruit length is an important parameter of fruit deciding consumer preference (Triveni *et al.* 19). A perusal of the data on average fruit length (cm) revealed that the per cent standard heterosis was in the range of -23.97% (NTL-50 × GT-6) to 14.88 % (NTL-25 × DVRT-2) at Navsari (L1), -23.66 % (NTL-50 × GT-6) to 13.27 % (NTL-24 × GT-6) at Waghai (L2) and -23.32 % (NTL-50 × GT-6) to 10.84 % (NTL-24 × GT-6) at Achhaliya (L3). The outstanding positive and significant three hybrids were NTL-25 × DVRT2 (14.88 %) followed by NTL-24 × GT-6 (11.28 %) and NTL-42 × DVRT-2 (9.96 %) at Navsari (L1); NTL-24 × GT-6 (13.27 %) followed by NTL-25 × DVRT-2 (10.72 %) and NTL-42 × DVRT-2 (9.18 %) at Waghai (L2) and NTL-24 × GT-6 (10.84 %) followed by NTL-19 × GT-6 (9.86 %) and NTL-25 × DVRT-2 (8.75 %) at Achhaliya (L3). Positive and significant standard heterosis for fruit length (cm) was also observed by Triveni *et al.* (19), Kumar and Pal (6) and Kumar *et al*. (7). Fruit girth is also one of the parameters that contributes towards fruit yield of tomato and also commercially important traits to gain high market value through high productivity (Triveni *et al*. 19). The standard heterosis over check for fuit girth (cm) varied from -34.25% (NTL-45 × AT-3) to 6.78 % (NTL-42 × DVRT-2); -33.55 % (NTL-45 × AT-3) to 17.87 % (NTL-42 × DVRT-2) and -35.63 % (NTL-50 × GT-7) to 21.34 % (NTL-42 × DVRT2) at Navsari (L1), Waghai (L2) and Achhaliya (L3), respectively. Top three performing hybrids were *viz*., NTL-50 × DVRT-2 (6.78 %), NTL-24 × GT-6 (6.04 %) and NTL-25 × DVRT-2 (5.19 %) at Navsari (L1); NTL-42 × DVRT2 (17.87 %), NTL-25 × AT-3 (11.58 %) and NTL-24 × GT-6 (7.24 %) at Waghai (L2) while, NTL-42 × DVRT-2 (21.34 %), NTL-25 × AT-3 (15.71 %) and NTL-19 × GT-6 (9.78 %) at Achhaliya (L3). These results were in close conformity with the earlier finding of Triveni *et al.* (19), Kumar and Pal (6) and Kumar *et al*. (7). Thicker pericarp is considered desirable for distant transportation. Pericarp thickness commercially important traits to gain high market value through high productivity (Khan and Jindal, 5). The standard heterosis over check for pericarp thickness (mm) varied from - 25.66% (NTL-45 × GT-6) to 10.60 % (NTL-19 × AT-3); -24.19 % (NTL-50 × GT-6) to 14.72 % (NTL-19 × AT-3) and -27.09 % (NTL-45 × GT-6) to 5.41 % (NTL-19 × AT-3) at Navsari (L1), Waghai (L2) and Achhaliya (L3), respectively. Top performing hybrids were *viz*., NTL-19 × AT-3 (10.60 %) at Navsari (L1); NTL-19 × AT-3 (14.72 %); at Waghai (L2) and NTL-19 × AT-3 (5.41 %) at Achhaliya (L3). These results were in close conformity with the earlier finding of Kumar *et al*. (8) and Kumar *et al.* (7). Locules per fruit is also an important character and it is an indicator of the fruit firmness. Lesser the number of the locules per fruit, more is the fruit firmness and vice versa (Khan and Jindal, 5). For locules per fruit, magnitude of standard heterosis was ranged from -44.44 % (NTL-25 × GT-6) to 22.22 % (NTL-27 × AT-3) at Navsari (L1); -40.85 % (NTL-45 × GT-7) to 32.39 % (NTL-25 × AT-3) at Waghai (L2); -31.75 % (NTL-45 × GT-6, NTL-45 × GT-7) to 23.81 % (NTL-27 × AT-3) at Achhaliya (L3). Top three performing hybrids were *viz*., NTL-25 × GT-6 (-44.44 %), NTL-45 × GT-7 (-36.51 %) and NTL-50 × GT-6 (-31.75 %) at Navsari (L1); NTL-45 × GT-7 (- 40.85 %), NTL-24 × GT-6 (-38.03 %) and NTL-24 × GT-7 (-36.62 %) at Waghai (L2) while, NTL-45 × GT-6 and NTL-45 × GT-7 (-31.75 %), NTL-25 × GT-6 (-30.16 %) and NTL-50 × GT-7 (-28.57 %) at Achhaliya (L3). These results were in close conformity with the earlier finding of Khan and Jindal (5) and Kumar *et al*. (8).

Table 1: Range of mean, range of standard heterosis and number of significant crosses in tomato over the different loctions.

Character	Location	Range of		Number of significant crosses	
		Mean performance	Standard heterosis (%)	Positive	Negative
Days to 50 % flowering	**L1**	37.33 to 50.67	-15.15 to 15.15	3	1
	L2	38.33 to 49.67	-12.88 to 12. 88	6	10
	L3	38.33 to 51.67	-13.20 to 16.54	9	9
Plant height (cm)	**L1**	87.90 to 139.54	-17.78 to 30.53	15	3
	L2	87.32 to 137.55	-18.90 to 27.74	14	8
	L3	92.90 to 131.74	-16.24 to 18.77	9	10
Branches per	**L1**	5.93 to 12.60	- 35.51 to 36.96	3	21

plant	**L2**	6.27 to 11.93	-34.72 to 24.31	3	21
	L3	5.60 to 11.40	-39.57 to 23.02	3	22
Fruits per plant	**L1**	44.73 to 71.87	-21.61 to 25.93	8	12
	L2	44.13 to 71.87	-24.34 to 23.20	5	12
	L3	39.20 to 66.27	-25.29 to 26.30	7	10
Fruit yield per plant (kg)	**L1**	2.00 to 4.33	-33.81 to 43.65	8	13
	L2	2.16 to 4.45	-33.78 to 36.54	7	11
	L3	1.97 to 4.22	-36.08 to 37.16	7	13
Fruit weight (g)	**L1**	46.48 to 73.92	-20.87 to 25.86	8	10
	L2	47.14 to 74.39	-19.48 to 27.08	9	13
	L3	42.66 to 71.48	-26.22 to 23.64	5	14
Fruit length (cm)	**L1**	3.94 to 5.58	-23.97 to 14.88	5	19
	L2	3.99 to 5.78	-23.66 to 13.27	5	18
	L3	3.89 to 5.87	-23.32 to 10.84	3	17
Fruit girth (cm)	**L1**	3.59 to 5.69	-34.25 to 6.78	6	21
	L2	3.73 to 5.89	-33.55 to 17.87	5	20
	L3	3.61 to 5.98	-35.63 to 21.34	3	23
Pericarp thickness (mm)	**L1**	5.00 to 7.44	-25.66 to 10.60	1	22
	L2	5.10 to 7.71	-24.19 to 14.72	3	20
	L3	4.94 to 7.15	-27.09 to 5.41	1	23
Locules per fruit	**L1**	2.33 to 5.13	-44.44 to 22.22	6	17
	L2	2.80 to 5.33	-40.85 to 32.39	3	21
	L3	2.87 to 5.47	-31.75 to 23.81	3	16
Total Soluble Solids (%)	**L1**	3.79 to 5.08	-21.84 to 3.57	2	1
	L2	3.68 to 7.71	-22.91 to 2.71	1	0
	L3	3.98 to 7.58	-30.16 to 4.71	1	0
Titrable acidity (mg/100 g)	**L1**	0.35 to 0.71	-33.33 to 33.33	3	21
	L2	0.30 to 0.72	36.81 to 50.69	7	13
	L3	0.34 to 0.68	-35.44 to 17.09	3	17
Ascorbic acid content (mg/100 g)	**L1**	21.56 to 27.94	-24.96 to 16.92	4	22
	L2	22.11 to 28.74	-20.66 to 3.10	1	19
	L3	21.33 to 28.92	-23.15 to 4.20	0	25
Lycopene content (mg/100 g)	**L1**	0.13 to 0.21	-36.67 to 3.33	0	24
	L2	0.13 to 0.21	-30.00 to 3.33	0	25
	L3	0.12 to 0.20	-32.14 to 3.57	0	25

The fruit's taste is influenced by the Total Soluble Solids (%) value, which might reveal how sweet the fruit is. A fruit with a higher TSS (%) has a better taste, and consumers will favour it more.So, the positive standard heterosis is desirable (Khan and Jindal, 5). The range of standard heterosis for total soluble solids was from -21.84 % (NTL-45 × DVRT-2) to 3.57 % (NTL-24 × GT-6); -22.91 % (NTL-45 × DVRT-2) to 2.71 % (NTL-19 × AT-3) and -30.16 % (NTL-45 × DVRT-2) to 4.71 % (NTL-45 × AT-3) at Navsari (L1), Waghai (L2) and Achhaliya (L3), respectively. Top hybrids having significant and positive standard hetrosis in terms of total soluble solids were *viz.*, NTL-24 × GT-6 (3.57 %) and NTL-19 × AT-3 (2.28 %) at Navsari (L1) and NTL-19 × AT-3 (6.61) at Waghai (L2). The derived results were in conformity with Kumari and Sharma (10) and Kumar *et al.* (9).Range of standard heterosis of titrable acidity (mg/100g) were reported in between -33.33 % (NTL-24 × GT-7) to 33.33 % (NTL-27 × GT-7) at Navsari (L1); - 36.81 % (NTL-24 × GT-7) to 50.69 % (NTL-27 × GT-7) at Waghai (L3) and -35.44 % (NTL-24 × GT-7) to 17.09 % (NTL-45 × GT-7) at Achhaliya (L3). Among these, top three promising hybrids were *viz.*, NTL-27 × GT-7 (33.33 %), NTL-50 × GT-6 (12.58 %) and NTL-45 × GT-7 (11.32 %) at Navsari (L1), hybrid *viz.*, NTL-27 × GT-7 (50.69 %), NTL-25 × GT-6 (15.97 %) and NTL-27 × DVRT-2 (12.50 %) at Waghai (L2) and hybrid *viz.*, NTL-45 × GT-6 (19.16 %), NTL-45 × GT-7 (17.09 %) and NTL-50 × GT-7 (7.59 %) at Achhaliya (L3) exhibited positive and significant standard heterosis for this traits. The positive and significant standard heterosis were also reported by Mali and Patel (13), Savale and Patel (17) and Kumar *et al.* (7). The higher ascorbic acid content would increase the nutritive value of the fruits, which would help better retention of colour and flavour (Kumar *et al*. 7). Range of standard heterosis were reported in between -24.96 % (NTL-50 × GT7) to 16.92 % (NTL-42 × DVRT-2) at Navsari (L1); -20.66 % (NTL-50 × GT-7) to 3.10% (NTL-24 × GT-7) at Waghai (L3) and -23.15 % (NTL-50 × GT-7) to 4.20 % (NTL25 × AT-3) at Achhaliya (L3). Among these, the promising hybrids were *viz.*, NTL-42 × DVRT-2 (16.92 %), NTL-27 × AT-3 (14.65 %) and NTL-42 × AT-3 (12.62 %) at Navsari (L1) and hybrid *viz.*, NTL-24 × GT-7 (3.10 %) at Waghai (L2). The positive and significant standard heterosis were also reported by Mali and Patel (13), Metwally*et al*. (14) and Kumar *et al*. (7). High lycopene content imparts deep red colour to the tomato, which is preferred for table as well as processing purpose. Moreover, lycopene had greatest antioxidant property among all carotenoids and is valued for its anti-cancer property, since it acts as a scavenger of free radicals (Khan and Jindal, 5). There were no positive significance heterosis were found in lycopene content. From the above result about standard heterosis for various characters in different hybrid combination, none of the hybrid exhibited consistence standard heterosis for all the characters. This finding was also supported by Mali and Patel (13), Khan and Jindal (5), Kumar *et al*. (8), Panchal *et al*. (15) and Kathimba*et al*. (3).

Fruit yield is complex character which is influenced by many traits like number of fruits per plant, fruit weight (g), fruit length (cm), plant height (cm), branches per plant etc. (Kathimba*et al*., 3). A comparative performance of the most heterotic crosses for fruit yield per plant (kg) and its component characters in each individual environment is presented in Table 2. It cannot be taken as a single entry. In this experiment, 7 hybrids *viz*., NTL-42 × DVRT-2, NTL-45 × GT-6, NTL-25 × AT-3, NTL-25 × DVRT-2, NTL-19 × GT-6, NTL-50 × DVRT-2 and NTL-50 × GT-6 at Navsari (L1), Waghai (L2) and Achhaliya (L3) exhibited positive and significant standard heterosis for fruit yield per plant (kg).

The hybrid NTL-19 × GT-6 had positive and significant standard heterosis for plant height (cm), fruit girth (cm) and fruit weight (g), while the hybrid NTL-25 × DVRT-2 had positive and

Table 2: Promising hybrids for standard heterosis for fruit yield per plant and its component traits over environments in tomato

Sr. No.	Hybrid	Location	Fruit yield per plant (kg)	Standard heterosis (%)	Significant standard heterosis (%) for yield components
1.	NTL-25 × DVRT-2	L1	4.33	43.65 **	Branches per plant, fruit weight (g), fruit length (cm), fruit girth (cm)
		L2	4.45	36.54 **	Branches per plant, fruit weight (g), fruit length (cm), fruit girth (cm), pericarp thickness (mm)
		L3	4.17	37.16**	Branches per plant, fruits per plant, fruit weight (g), fruit length (cm)
2.	NTL-42 × DVRT-2	L1	4.30	42.76 **	Branches per plant, fruits per plant, fruit weight (g), fruit length (cm), fruit girth (cm), locules per fruit
		L2	4.22	29.68 **	Branches per plant, fruits per plant, fruit weight (g), fruit length (cm), locules per fruit
		L3	4.22	37.16 **	Branches per plant, fruit weight (g), fruit girth (cm), locules per fruit
3.	NTL-25 × AT-3	L1	4.14	37.53**	Fruits per plant, fruit weight (g), fruit length (cm), fruit girth (cm)
		L2	4.19	28.76**	Fruits per plant, fruit weight (g), fruit length (cm), fruit girth (cm)
		L3	4.15	34.89**	Fruits per plant, fruit weight (g), fruit length (cm), fruit girth (cm)
4.	NTL-45 × GT-6	L1	3.96	31.27**	Plant height (cm), branches per plant, fruits per plant
		L2	3.82	17.30**	Plant height (cm), fruit weight (g), fruit girth (cm)
		L3	3.89	26.54**	Plant height (cm), branches per plant, fruits per plant
5.	NTL-19 × GT-6	L1	3.92	29.94**	Plant height (cm), fruits per plant, fruit weight (g), fruit girth (cm)
		L2	3.93	20.78**	Plant height (cm), branches per plant, fruits per plant
		L3	3.81	23.94**	Plant height (cm), fruits per plant
6.	NTL-50 × GT-6	L1	3.62	20.00**	Plant height (cm), fruits per plant
		L2	3.64	11.87**	Plant height (cm), fruits per plant
		L3	3.51	13.98**	Plant height (cm), fruits per plant
7.	NTL-50 × DVRT-2	L1	3.53	16.91**	Plant height (cm), fruits per plant
		L2	3.65	11.98**	Plant height (cm), fruits per plant
		L3	3.45	12.24**	Plant height (cm), fruits per plant



Fig.1 A heatmap illustrating the heterosis for fruit yield per plant (kg) across three different locations (Achhaliya, Waghai,Navsari) for 28 hybrids.

significant standard heterosis for branches per plant, fruits per plant, fruit girth (cm), fruit length (cm) and fruit girth (g). Hybrid NTL-25 × AT-3 had positive and significant standard heterosis fruit weight (g), fruit length (cm) and fruit girth (cm); while hybrid NTL-42 × DVRT-2 had significant and positive standard heterosis for branches per plant, fruits per plant, fruit weight (g), and fruit length (cm), while hybrid NTL-45 × GT-6 had significant and positive standard heterosis for plant height (cm), branches per plant and fruits per plant, while hybrid NTL-50 × GT-6 forfruits per plant and plant height (cm). This was due to interacting effects of different components in manifestation of standard heterosis for fruit yield (kg). Therefore, to achieve the greatest heterotic effects for fruit yield, the desired level of heterosis for each component characteristic must be determined in order to identify superior cross combinations. Similar results were recorded by Triveni *et al*. (19), Kumar and Pal (6) and Madhavi *et al.* (13). Hybrids exhibiting high heterotic effects may provide better opportunities for cultivation and for identifying desirable pure lines in subsequent advanced generations. Among the parental genotypes, DVRT-2 and GT-6 yielded superior heterotic crosses for fruit yield and its component characters; Early flowering leads to earliness and also early supply of the produce in the market and enables it to fetch a remunerative price. On the other hand, for higher standard heterosis, early flowering is responsible and also early hybrids fit well in multiple cropping systems (Khan and Jindal, 5). For this trait, negative standard heterosis is to be considered as desirable. From the top hybrids, NTL-24 × AT-3, had negative and significant standard heterosis, which is desirable. These findings were in agreement with the findings reported by Kumar and Pal *et al*. (6).

4. CONCLUSION

Estimation of standard heterosis suggested that maximum heterosis for fruit yield per plant were observed in hybrid viz., NTL-25 × DVRT-2, NTL-42 × DVRT-2, NTL-25 × AT-3, NTL-45× GT-6, NTL-19 × GT-6, NTL-50× DVRT-2 and NTL-50 × GT-6 at all locations. None of the hybrid showed positive and significant standard heterosis for all the traits. The hybrids showing strong heterotic effects could provide improved opportunities for growing and selecting preferred pure lines in subsequent generations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the support given by Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, N. M. College of Agriculture Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat. We are also grateful to the group of vegetable scientists of Department of Vegetable Science, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Execution of field/lab experiments and data collection and preparation of the original manuscript (GMP); Conceptualization & designing of the experiments (GMP and AIP); Analysis of data and interpretation (GMP and AIP); Editing of the manuscript (CGI, HRP,AP,MRP,DPP,VBP,AS,SCM).

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etchave been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

1.

2.

3.

References

1 Anonymous (2023). Area and production of horticulture crop. Department of Agriculture and farmer's welfare. Received from https://agricoop.nic.in/en/StatHortEst

2 Bose T K, Bose J, Kabir T K, Maity V A. Parthasarathy, Som, M G. Vegetable crops. Bhumanimitra publication, Calcutta, India, 2002.37:77-83.

3 Kathimba F K, Kimani P M, Narla R D, Kirika L M. (2022). Heterosis and combining ability for related traits in tomato. *African Crop Science Journal,* **30**(5): 109-125. https://doi.org/10.4314/acsj.v30is1.9S

4 Khalil M. and Mahmoud IM (2019). Genetic analysis and heterosis for some quantitative traits in tomato (*Solanumlycopersicum* L.). *Menoufia Journal of Plant Production,***4**: 287-302. http://dx.doi.org/10.21608/mjppf.2019.122971

5 Khan A and Jindal S K (2016). Exploiting yield potential in tomato (*Solanumlycopersicum* L.) through heterosis breeding. *Plant Gene and Trait*, **7**(8): 1-7.

6 Kumar M S and Pal K (2018). Exploitation of heterosis for yield and its attributing traits in tomato (*Solanumlycopersicum* L.). *Jouranl of Crop and Weed*, **14**(3): 61-68.

7 Kumar P A, Reddy R K, Reddy R V S K, Pandravada S R, Saidaiah P (2019). Heterosis in dual purpose tomato for yield and quality attributes under Southern Telangana region. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, **7**(3): 494-503.

8 Kumar P, Singh N, Singh P K (2017). A study on heterosis in tomato (*Solanumlycopersicum* L.) for yield and its component traits. *International Jouranl of Current Microbiology andApplied Science*, **6**: 1318-1325. https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.607.158

9 Kumar R, Srivastava K, Singh R, Kumar V (2013). Heterosis for quality attributes in tomato (*Lycopersiconesculentum* Mill.). *Vegetos*, **26**(1): 101-106. http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/j.2229-4473.26.1.015

10 Kumari S and Sharma MK (2011). Exploitation of heterosis for yield and its contributing traits in tomato (*Solanumlycopersicum* L.). *International Journal of Farm Science*, **1**(2): 45-55.

11 Liu Z, Jiang J, Ren A, Xu X, Zhang H, Zhao T, Jiang X, Sun Y, Li J, Yang H. (2021). Heterosis and combining ability analysis of fruit yield, early maturity and quality in tomato. *Agronomy,* **11**: 807-822. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11040807

12 Madhavi Y, Reddy K, Reddy C, Rajani A, Kumari R (2023). Studies on heterosis for growth and yield characters in tomato (*Solanumlycopersicum* L.)*The Pharma Innovation Journal,***12**(5): 2632-2636.

13 Mali B and Patel A I (2014) Heterosis study in tomato (*Lycopersiconesculentum* Mill.). *Trends in Biosciences*, **7**(4): 250-253.

14 Metwally E I, Kassas A, Tantawy A, Mahmoud M and El-Mansy A (2015). Heterosis and combining ability in tomato by line x tester. *Journal of Plant Production*, **6**(2): 159 – 173. https://dx.doi.org/10.21608/jpp.2015.49296

15 Panchal B B, Gurjar T D, Kalaria V D and Patel N B (2017). Study of heterosis breeding for processing characters in tomato (*Solanumlycopersicum* L.). *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience*, **5**(4): 592-600. http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.4056

16 Rick C M. 1969. Origin of cultivated tomato, current status and the problem. Abstract, XI *International Botanical Congress*, p180.

17 Savale S V, Patel A I and Sante P R (2017). Study of heterosis over environments in tomato (*Solanumlycopersicum* L.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, **5**(3): 284-289.

18 Stahl.2011. Betacarotene and other carotenoids. Institute of Medicine Food and Nutrition Board. 17:6-7.

19 Triveni D, Saidaiah P, Reddy K R and Pandravada S R (2017). Studies on heterosis for yield and yield contributing traits in tomato (*Solanumlycopersicum* L). *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience*, **5**(4): 1677-1685.

10 Wynne JC, Emery DA, Rice PW. Combining ability estimate in *Arachishypogaea* L. **II**. Field performance of F1 hybrids. *Crop Sci*. 1970;10(6):713-715.