

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_124368
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Construction Industry to Carbon Neutrality: A Case Study on Taiwan, Thailand, and Japan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The construction industry is crucial for achieving carbon neutrality because it significantly contributes to global carbon emissions, accounting for a large portion of energy consumption and waste generation. By adopting sustainable building practices, such as using eco-friendly materials and energy-efficient designs, the industry can drastically reduce its carbon footprint. Additionally, the construction of green infrastructure, like renewable energy facilities and sustainable transportation systems, supports broader climate goals and transforming the construction sector is essential for mitigating climate change and fostering a more sustainable future. For these purposes this manuscript is so important.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive and it covers the topic.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is appropriate, but a little bit complicated.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the manuscript, the author tried to create a model in the case of three countries. It could have made modelling more successful.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I think the references are sufficient and recent. Most of them are last years' references.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.	
Optional/General comments	I would recommend to be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elshan Orujov
Department, University & Country	Western Caspian University, Azerbaijan