

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	JournalofScientificResearchandReports
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JSRR_126096
TitleoftheManuscript:	ClimateSmartAgriculture:InnovatingSustainablePracticesforaChangingClimate
TypeoftheArticle	ReviewArticle

GeneralguidelinesforthePeerReviewprocess:

Thisjournal'speerreviewpolycystatesthat **NO**manuscriptshouldberejectedonlyonthebasisof '**lackofNovelty**',providedthemanuscriptisscientificallyrobustandtechnicallysound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

ImportantPoliciesRegardingPeerReview

PeerreviewCommentsApprovalPolicy:<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

ReviewForm3

PART1:ReviewComments

CompulsoryREVISIONcomments	Reviewer’scomment	Author’sFeedback(Pleasecorrectthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpartinthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedbackhere)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance ofthismanuscriptforthescientificcommunity.Whydo youlike(ordislike)thismanuscript? Aminimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Manuscript is relevant in current scenario. The manuscript is written in correct format and technically correct.	
Isthethitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	The title is suitable based on manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggesttheaddition(ordeletion)ofsomepointsinthis section? Please write your suggestions here.	Minimize the abstract. Write it within 250-300 words. Add 4-5 keywords.	
Aresubsectionsandstructureofthemanuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of this manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctnessofthismanuscript.Whydoyouthinkthat thismanuscriptisscientificallyrobustandtechnically sound?Aminimumof3-4sentencesmayberequired for this part.	The manuscript is technically robust and technically sound.	
Arethereferencesufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and correct form	
MinorREVISIONcomments		
Isthelanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable.	
Optional/Generalcomments	Bold out the points in Importance of climate smart agriculture.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayanavo Dey
Department, University & Country	Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture,TechnologyandSciences, India