## **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_126730
Title of the Manuscript:	Improving the Photo-catalytic Efficiency of TiO2 by incorporation of Cobalt For Removal of Micropollutants from Wastewater
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <a href="https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/">https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</a> Benefits for Reviewers: <a href="https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers">https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</a>

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

# **Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		
	What is the significance of Sharp peaks in X ray diffraction.	
	Explain in detail FTIR and UV analysis based on energy levels.	
	2. Explain in detail FTIK and OV analysis based on energy levels.	
	3. What is the significance of absorption	
	In Fig.5 and what indicates broad humps.	
	In Fig.5 and What maioates broad numps.	
	4. Explain in detail Photo-degradation Mechanism.	

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Dachepalli Ravinder
Department, University & Country	Basheerbagh Osmania University, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)