Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126297
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF INOCULATING SYMBIOTIC MICROORGANISMS ON ACACIA MANGIUM GROWN ON COCONUT FIBER.
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/ Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that
Compaisory REVISION Comments	Reviewer 5 Comment	part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Diagon with a few contents a remaining the	The manuscript entitled "Effect of witnesses and all conhomos on nutrient use officiency of	nis/ner reedback nere)
Please write a few sentences regarding the	The manuscript entitled "Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on nutrient use efficiency of	
importance of this manuscript for the scientific	irrigated chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) under Scarce Rainfall Zone of Andhra Pradesh" is a good	
community. Why do you like (or dislike) this	piece of works as it reflects the importance of pulse crop in agriculture and how to improve its	
manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be	productivity with the help of fertilizers.	
required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable?	Yes	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	103	
(ii not picase suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do	Abstract is ok but it can be more detail oriented. Methodolgy in the abstract section is lacking.	
you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some	Kindly provide more detail of how the experiment is conducted.	
points in this section? Please write your	Give a one line concluding statement to the abstract as well.	
suggestions here.	Also, provide few keywords after the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript	Yes	
appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the	Overall the manuscript is a good work of the authors. It shows the importance of mycorrhizae	
scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do	and Rhizobium in the plant growth and its applications in soil. However a few corrections are	
you think that this manuscript is scientifically	there which can be corrected in order to make the manuscript suitable for publication.	
robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4		
sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you	More recent references can be added. Some references are very old (more than 10 years old),	
have suggestions of additional references, please	change them with the recent ones. Moreover, some more references can be added in the	
mention them in the review form.	Introduction as well as discussion section as there are very few.	
<u> </u>		
Minor REVISION comments		
	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article		
suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Graphs are a little blur in the result section. It can be made more clear. Also, in the tables there	
Optional Octional Comments	should be a decimal between the digits instead of a comma.	
	Should be a decimal between the digits instead of a comma.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mudassara Hasan
Department, University & Country	Aligarh Muslim University, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)