

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_125914
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of Marketed Surplus and Marketing Efficiency of Milk in Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The main problem in agriculture and allied sectors in India is market fluctuation and low price received by the producer. In this connection it is the need of the day to analyse the marketing channels. When specific studies for different commodities are conducted , it will be easy to understand the problem and give recommendations to policy makers. In this regard the study carried is very important.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research study is well planned with good methodology and appropriate statistical analysis are adopted which gives proper interpretations for the study. Due to the right statistical tests applied the manuscript is scientifically robust	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The spelling of litre should be corrected in the entire manuscript. The language is good. Few corrections of alignment indicated in the manuscript can be taken care	I have corrected the spelling of litre and made the alignment
Optional/General comments	The article very clearly indicates the efficiency of the marketing channels in dairy farming. This clearly shows to the producer, on which channel they have to depend on to increase their profit margin. Hence the manuscript is worth publishing	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	