

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Applied Life Sciences International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_126724
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating Growth Performance and Economic Efficiency of Indian Major Carps in Integrated Fish-Duck Farming Systems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Recycling organic waste for fish farming serves a dual purpose: it helps manage waste disposal, thus benefiting the environment, and provides economic returns</li><li>The effects of duck rearing on pond productivity, along with a cost-benefit analysis of integrated fish farming</li></ul>	Yes included
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	-
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract correct	-
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	-
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Measuring the latitude and longitude values of the ponds and attaching the study area photos, which can reflect the reality of the work.</li><li>Analyse the reason for the greater value of total alkalinity in INT.</li><li>The statistical analysis part needs a clear explanation.</li></ul>	Yes added
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Add recent reference minimum of 3 nos.</li></ul>	Yes added
Minor REVISION comments	YES	-
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	In the section 3.2 the word growth mentioned in two times. (Growth Growth performance of fish)	Yes corrected

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	-