

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_125291
Title of the Manuscript:	Study/Effect of total chlorophyll content and relative water content % of mini tuber potato under aeroponic condition in Jammu region
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Study based on the aerophonics as well as all the using growing media is chemical free so that in the developed cities in India or in world are used this techniques for future. Also the potato is very important crop in all the countries so it's very useful for the famers or entrepreneurs which related to this type of business. SEE ATTACHMENT	Checked all the comments in attachment
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes its suitable according to study but my suggestion also there for the title	Ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	NO	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	Ok
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	No so high correction is there in manuscript but some minor correction is there so try to find and solve it	Checked
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes sufficient according to study	Ok
Minor REVISION comments		Ok
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes Suitable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	