

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_126361
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Nutrient Management Practices in Cassava on the Growth and Yield of Red Gram in an Additive Series Intercropping System in the Southern Laterites of Kerala
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I have found this manuscript important because in order to meet the growing population, tuber crops also play important role, studies in such crop might be beneficial to the farmers as well as to the society. The study also shows the importance of intercropping, better yield of crop in treatments as compared to control and it also help in identifying which treatment was the best.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is fine with the title	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please add one sentence about the importance of intercropping and try to avoid abbreviation in abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is okay	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	All the methods and statistical analysis was acceptable, experimental datas were found suitable and overall, this manuscript is good. It will look better if you added some more graphical results. Add some methods on soil analysis as nothing was mentioned, for eg. Soil potassium was analysed using flame photometer, pH by pH meter etc.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Recently published articles need to be added more	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is fine	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khumanthem Babina Devi
Department, University & Country	Mizoram University, India