## Review Form 3

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | **Asian Journal of Research in Surgery** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_AJRS_126216** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **POST OPERATIVE CHRONIC PAIN AFTER MESH FIXATION BY ABSORBABLE AND NON ABSORBABLE SUTURE IN LICHTENSTEIN MESHPLASTY** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/
Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **The topic is important in low middle income countries that is what I liked in it** | |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **The title and objectives of the study are not realigned. Need to be realigned**<br><br>Rephrased the title and objectives of the study to realigned<br>Evaluation of post-operative groin pain in patients undergoing Lichtenstein meshplasty using either absorbable or non-absorbable sutures. | |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | **Abstract is ok** | |
| **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** | **Need to do it properly the last paragraph of the introduction section should be part of data collection method** | |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **The authors had not mentioned the design of the study, the how the collected the sample size etc. need to me improved** | |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.<br>-** | **They are ok** | |

## Review Form 3

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Minor REVISION comments<br><br>**Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | | |
| **Optional/General** comments | Comment 1:  tile and objectives are not aligned<br>Comment 2: the last paragraph of the introduction section belongs to the data collection subsection<br>Comments 3: what is the design of the study. How you did sampling …. how randomization was done…noting was mentioned about it. Methodology section needs lot of improvement<br>Comment 4:in abstract section it was mentioned that the data was collected at the time of discharge, 10 days, one month and 3rd month. I did not found any data on these days. Only data about 3 months is present. The table 1, 2 compare data only on month3.<br>Comment 5: table 1 and 2 compared VAS score at 3rd month only<br>Comment 6: when neuropathic pain was measured or evaluated<br>Comment 7: Table 4 should not be a part of discussion section here usually scientist compare their data and generate discussions. My humble suggestion | |

**PART 2:**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | |

**Reviewer Details:**



| | |
|---|---|
| Name: | **Muhammad Nasir Ayub Khan** |
| Department, University & Country | **Shifa Tamer e Millet University, Pakistan** |