

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_126216
Title of the Manuscript:	POST OPERATIVE CHRONIC PAIN AFTER MESH FIXATION BY ABSORBABLE AND NON ABSORBABLE SUTURE IN LICHTENSTEIN MESHPLASTY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is important in low middle income countries that is what I liked in it	Thank you
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>The title and objectives of the study are not realigned. Need to be realigned</b>  Rephrased the title and objectives of the study to realigned Evaluation of post-operative groin pain in patients undergoing Lichtenstein meshplasty using either absorbable or non-absorbable sutures.	The objective has been modified
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<b>Abstract is ok</b>	ok
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<b>Need to do it properly the last paragraph of the introduction section should be part of data collection method</b>	Noted
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<b>The authors had not mentioned the design of the study, the how the collected the sample size etc. need to me improved</b>	Ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<b>They are ok</b>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<div>Comment 1: tile and objectives are not aligned</div> <div>Comment 2: the last paragraph of the introduction section belongs to the data collection subsection</div> <div>Comments 3: what is the design of the study. How you did sampling .... how randomization was done...noting was mentioned about it. Methodology section needs lot of improvement</div> <div>Comment 4:in abstract section it was mentioned that the data was collected at the time of discharge, 10 days, one month and 3<sup>rd</sup> month. I did not found any data on these days. Only data about 3 months is present. The table 1, 2 compare data only on month3.</div> <div>Comment 5: table 1 and 2 compared VAS score at 3<sup>rd</sup> month only</div> <div>Comment 6: when neuropathic pain was measured or evaluated</div> <div>Comment 7: Table 4 should not be a part of discussion section here usually scientist compare their data and generate discussions. My humble suggestion</div>	Checked all the comments and the paper has been modified

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	