

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_126339
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Gender-Specific Variation in Lead-Induced Nephrotoxicity in Wistar rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like the manuscript as lead is a part of a society and we should know how toxic it is and how it effects males and females.This will be a useful topic for discussion and well as for research purposeless is a part of society so people should know that they need to be careful about its usage and extend of usage.	Exactly as stated
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Exactly as stated
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is comprehensive according to my opinion.	Exactly as stated
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes they are.	Exactly as stated
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I found it quite satisfactory but there are few points I would like to highlight which shows be considered. They are: 1.Almost 60% of references used here are old for more than 5 years.Like references in introduction and discussion should be from articles published in last 5 years.Discussion part have more than 50% references which are from articles published in 2009 to 2014.Author can use old references but they should not be more than 20 to 30% of total references. 2.There are some grammatical mistskes which need to be rechecked.	Noted points have been effected in manuscript
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient but there should not be more than 25% references which are more than 5 years old. Updating new references is required in introduction and discussion	Noted points have been effected in manuscript
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		Grammatical mistakes have been corrected
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quite suitable but minor grammatic mistakes need correction.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Manuscript is good and satisfactory in my opinion. Just need minor grammatical corrections and change/ upgrade references.	Grammatical mistakes have been corrected and references have been upgraded

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	