

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_126260
Title of the Manuscript:	Blood Culture Positivity and Pathogen Susceptibility in Bloodstream Infections: A Comprehensive analysis of Microbial Trends and Antimicrobial Resistance
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript brings to the scientific community an importance about the profile of pathogens implicated in bloodstream infections and their antibiotic susceptibility to better mitigate those infections For blood culture, automatic tool was used such as Bactec FX instead of traditional method Also, for antibiotic susceptibility testing, an automatic tool such as vitek 2 was also used This article is important because it is also a regular monitoring the pathogens causing bloodstream infections and their antibiotic susceptibility pattern However, in the summary you must know vitek 2 is not used for blood culture but only for antibiotic testing and bacterial identification You must also correct faults in the text for exemple name of bacteria in italic, Gram instead gram and so on	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but percentage of pathogens and of antibiotics susceptibility must be added between bracket as in the main text	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Because the manuscript is a regular monitoring of pathogens causing blood stream infection and their antibiotic susceptibility pattern Tools used to do this study are new generation tools such as Bactec FX and viteck2 and their tools allow a prompt detection and better management of their infections	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Name of bacteria must be in italique in all the text and some faults must be also correct	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Assane Dieng
Department, University & Country	UCAD/FMPOS, Senegal