

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_126515
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE EFFECT OF FEEDING MAIWA AND PEARL MILLET AS DIETARY ENERGY SOURCE FOR BROILER CHICKEN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I liked this manuscript because it showed an important topic, which is the use of a food source that contains a high percentage of protein, and this has a positive impact on the economic aspect.	Thank you
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There is a note that must be taken into account, which is that poultry is not part of livestock, so what was written in the last section of the introduction must be corrected. (Although there are many bye-products and other unconventional feedstuffs available that can be used as alternative sources of energy and protein feedstuff for livestock production, the methods for making them more profitable for animal feeding systems are frequently unknown or too difficult to implement for efficient livestock production. This is due to the fact that the cost per unit of feed has increased over the past few years, making grill production more expensive. In light of this, the study compared the effects of feeding grill chickens maiwa and pearl millet as dietary energy sources.)	Noted and corrected as recommended
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Please search for more recent sources if available.	There is little literature on the use of maiwa millet
Minor REVISION comments	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	No comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	