

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_121100
Title of the Manuscript:	THE PHYSIOLOGY OF T-CELL IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF HYPERTENSION: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is an important piece of work on the role of T cells in the development of hypertension. It is exciting to read. The review is well organized and informative.	All corrections are done
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title represents the focus of the review.	Okay
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections clearly describe the objective of each subsection.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The section on role THE ROLE OF T-LYMPHOCYTES IN HYPERTENSION contains significant new pieces of information. However, the presentation is difficult to follow. The impact of the contents of this section on this review will be greatly appreciated by using less complicated description. The authors may consider including a table to show the presence and effect of these cytokines on hypertension. A short catchy table is being suggested. There are typographical errors and words that run together that should be corrected. I caught some of them, but the author should take time to proofread the manuscript.	Corrected as suggested
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are correctly cited	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	This is a timely review of this topic.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	